PATENT COOPERATION TREATY.

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: **PCT** James & Wells 9th Floor WRITTEN OPINION OF THE 6B Cawley Street INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY Ellerslie **Auckland NEW ZEALAND** (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 0 FEB 2005 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 230320PCX352 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/NZ2004/000269 28 October 2004 28 October 2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int. Cl. 7 B23B 45/02, B25B 21/00, B25F 5/00, 5/02, B26B 25/00, B27B 9/00 Applicant IBEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED et al This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion **Priority** Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Porm PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer **AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE** PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA **JASON PREMNATH** E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Telephone No. (02) 6283 2127 Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion	
	With regard which it was	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	1
	the fol	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into lowing language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of tional search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard claimed inv	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type of i	material .	
	а	sequence listing	
	ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format o	f material	•
,		written format	
		computer readable form	
		iling/furnishing	
		entained in the international application as filed. The description of the international application in computer readable form.	
•		rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
		·	
3.		tion, in the case that more than one version or conv of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been	
3.	In addi	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that unplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed as appropriets, were furnished.	
3.	In addi	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In addi	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In adding filed or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In adding filed or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In adding filed or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In adding filed or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In adding filed or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In adding filed or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In addifiled or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that application as filed as appropriate, were furnished.	
3. 4.	In addifiled or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that upplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. omments:	
3. 4.	In addifiled or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that upplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. comments:	
4.	In addifiled or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that upplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. omments:	
3. 4.	In addifiled or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that upplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. comments:	
3. 4.	In addifiled or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that upplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. omments:	
4.	In addifiled or in the a	furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that upplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. omments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

,	x No. I	V	Lack of unity of invention			
1.		In r	esponse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:			
			paid additional fees			
			paid additional fees under protest			
	•		not paid additional fees			
2.	X	This appl	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the icant to pay additional fees.			
3.	This A	Autho	ority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is			
		com	plied with			
		not c	complied with for the following reasons:			
	1. Claim 1 relates to a hand tool. In claim 1 it is considered that "brushless DC motor" comprises the first special technical feature					
	2. Claims 2, 4 - 44 relate to a hand tool. In claims 2, 4 - 44 it is considered that "fluid inlet port, fluid outlet port and ducting means" comprises the second special technical feature					
	Since these groups of claims do not share any of the special technical features identified, a technical relationship between the inventions does not exist. Accordingly the claims do not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.					
	٠					
		٠				
4.	This oni	inion	has been established in respect of the following many sources.			
**	This opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: X all parts					
		ine pa	arts relating to claims Nos.			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 44	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
•	Claims 1 - 44	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 - 44	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS)

The invention is not novel and is not inventive when compared with one of the following documents mentioned in the International Search Report.

- D1) US 2003/0121685 (claim 1)
- D2) US 2003/0196824 (claim 1)
- D3) US 6127751(claim 2 44)
- D4) US 6543549 (claim 2 44)

The use of a brushless motor in a hand tool is considered to be very common and considered to lack novelty and inventive step. Further D1 (see abstract) and D2 (see para 0064) disclose all the essential features of the invention claimed in claim 1 in particular a hand tool comprising a brushless DC motor.

D3 discloses all the essential features of the invention claimed in claim 2. D3 discloses a hand tool comprising:

- a) a body (1)
- b) a motor (11)
- c) a void space (4, see the figure) between the motor and the body
- d) a fluid inlet port (5, 6) and a fluid outlet port (8)
- e) a ducting means (3)

Similarly D4 also discloses all the essential features of claim 2.

The additional features mentioned in the claims 3 - 44 are either disclosed in the documents D3 and D4 mentioned above or are considered to be inessential features which do not significantly contribute to the working of the invention.

Accordingly claims 1-44 are not novel and considered to lack an inventive step.